## Lanson Lecture Chinese University of Hong Kong Centre for Bioethics January 18, 2019

## Health Care and Responsibility T. M. Scanlon

- 1. Two questions of responsibility in health care
  - a. Importance of informed consent by patients
  - b. Patient's responsibility for their need for care
- Different senses of "responsible"
  - a. Obligation-affirming claims
  - b. Obligation-limiting claims
  - c. Blame-justifying claims
  - d. Claims of decision-making authority
- 3. Having a choice = having what happens depend on how one responds when presented with the alternatives under certain conditions
- 4. Three kinds of reason for valuing choice:
  - a. Instrumental (depend on conditions under which choice will be made)
  - b. Expressive (depend on subject matter, can be negative)
  - c. Symbolic (depend on social conventions)
- 5. These are reasons for having "decision-making authority" but they also explain the significance of choice for responsibility in the obligation-limiting sense.
- 6. Why not paternalism?
  - a. Instrumental reasons seem to favor paternalistic interventions
  - b. Expressive reasons, symbolic reasons can count against
  - c. Other reasons against paternalism? Fact of disagreement
- 7. Sunstein and Thaler on Nudges: "Libertarian Paternalism"
  - a. Retirement saving example
  - b. Why object?
    - i. Unseen influences are always present
  - c. Less clear cases: no definitely correct answer, strong disagreement

- 8. Holding people responsible for their past choices
  - a. LeGrand on risky behavior and eligibility for state-funded health care
    - i. Harsh, but not without plausibility
- 9. Is degree of control the most important factor?
  - a. Control and blameworthiness
  - b. How connected with claim to care? Moralism
- 10. Distinguishing senses of responsibility:
  - a. Does outcome reflect what agent is like? (Blame-justifying sense)
  - b. Have we done enough for a person by giving him or her the opportunity to avoid a bad outcome by choosing appropriately? (Obligation-limiting sense)
- 11. Control as limit on claims to aid proves too much
  - a. Individuals could avoid risk of injury by not walking near traffic as well as by not riding motorcycles
- 12. What range of activities should we allow people to engage in without losing their claim to health care?
  - a. Depends on strength of their reasons to do these things
  - b. These reasons also relevant to blameworthiness, but in a different way.
- 13. Where do choice and responsibility come in?
- 14. Example of kidney disease:
  - a. Given shortage of kidneys, should we count some behaviors as diminishing claims to transplants?
  - b. *Announcing* that those who engage in behaviors leading to kidney failure will be ineligible or less eligible for kidney donation reduces demand for kidneys
  - c. Deterrent effect of policy
  - d. Instrumental value of having the choice makes policy preferable to a lottery
- 15. Choice (and responsibility) have a role in the distribution of health care, but this role should not be exaggerated, as Le Grand does.